

BIRCH'S LEMMA OVER GLOBAL FUNCTION FIELDS

YI OUYANG AND SHENXING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We obtain a function field version of Birch's Lemma, which reveals non-torsion points in quadratic twists of an elliptic curve over a global function field, where the quadratic twists have many prime factors. The proof is based on Brown's Euler system for Heegner points of function fields and Vigni's result.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this note, we shall give a function field version of Coates-Li-Tian-Zhai's generalization of Birch's Lemma.

1.1. Birch's lemma. Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} of conductor N , and let $f : X_0(N) \rightarrow E$ be a modular parametrization of E such that the cusp $[\infty] \in f^{-1}(O)$. Assume $f([0]) \notin 2E(\mathbb{Q})$. Assume $l > 3$ is a prime number such that $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and every prime factor p of N splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-l})$, i.e., the Heegner Hypothesis is satisfied for $(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-l}), N)$. Then Birch showed that $E^{(-l)}(\mathbb{Q})$ is of Mordell-Weil rank 1, where $E^{(-l)}$ is the quadratic twist of E by $-l$.

Recently Birch's Lemma was generalized by Coates, Li, Tian and Zhai in [CLTZ, §2]. If there is a good supersingular prime q_1 for E such that $q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and N is a square module q_1 , they showed that for any fixed integer $k \geq 1$, there are infinitely many square free integers M with exactly k prime factors, such that the Mordell-Weil rank of the quadratic twist $E^{(M)}$ is 1. In particular, $E = X_0(14)$ with $q_1 = 5$ and $E = X_0(49)$ with $q_1 = 5$ are two examples satisfying the assumptions.

1.2. Heegner points in function field and Vigni's result. Let \mathcal{C} be a geometrically connected, smooth, projective algebraic curve over a finite field \mathbb{F} of characteristic $p > 2$. Denote $F := \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{C})$ the function field of \mathcal{C} . Let ∞ be a fixed closed point of \mathcal{C} and denote \mathcal{O}_F the Dedekind domain of elements of F regular outside ∞ . Let F_∞ be the completion of F at ∞ and let C be the completion of a fixed algebraic closure of F_∞ .

Suppose E/F is a non-isotrivial (i.e., $j(E) \notin \overline{\mathbb{F}}$) elliptic curve defined over F . We assume that E has split multiplicative reduction at ∞ . This assumption is not essential since we can replace F by a suitable finite separable extension and ∞ by another closed point. Then the conductor of E can be written as $\mathfrak{n}\infty$ with \mathfrak{n} an ideal of \mathcal{O}_F . As explained in [GR], there is a nonconstant morphism

$$f : X_0(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow E \tag{1}$$

Date: Dec. 16, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G05; Secondary 11D25, 11G40.

Corresponding author: S. Zhang. Email: zsxqq@mail.ustc.edu.cn.

defined over F , where $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is the compactified Drinfeld modular curve of level \mathfrak{n} . We translate the modular parametrization f to ensure $f^{-1}(O)$ containing a fixed cusp P_0 .

Let $K = F(\sqrt{l})$ ($l \in \mathcal{O}_F$) be a quadratic extension of F , and \mathcal{O}_K be the integral closure of \mathcal{O}_F in K . Write $\text{Gal}(K/F) = \{1, \tau\}$.

Assumption I. *Assume ∞ is ramified in K and $h := h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is odd.*

Note. Assumption I means that the class number of K and the degree of ∞ are both odd, and the constant field of K is still \mathbb{F} . By abuse of notation, we denote by ∞ the only place of K above ∞ and identify $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/F_\infty) = \text{Gal}(K/F)$.

Assumption II. *The pair (K, \mathfrak{n}) satisfies the Heegner Hypothesis, i.e., every prime dividing \mathfrak{n} splits in K .*

Note. By Assumption II, $\mathfrak{n}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{N}^\tau$ with \mathfrak{N} an ideal of \mathcal{O}_K .

Fix a nonzero ideal \mathfrak{M} of \mathcal{O}_F which is prime to \mathfrak{n} . Then we can construct a Drinfeld-Heegner point as follows. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathcal{O}_F + \mathfrak{M}\mathcal{O}_K$ be the order of conductor \mathfrak{M} in \mathcal{O}_K . The proper ideal $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}/\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}} \cong \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n}.$$

Thus the two lattices $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}}^{-1}$ of C give a pair $(\Phi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \Phi'_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of Drinfeld modules of rank 2 with a cyclic \mathfrak{n} -isogeny, hence define a point $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$ on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$. Furthermore, $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is defined over the ring class field $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ of conductor \mathfrak{M} of K . As described in [B2, Chapter 2], this field is an abelian extension of K which is unramified outside primes dividing \mathfrak{M} and splits completely at ∞ . Thus we can embed $H_{\mathfrak{M}} \subset K_\infty$, and we regard $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ as a subfield of K_∞ from now on.

Denote

$$x_{\mathfrak{M}} = f(P_{\mathfrak{M}}). \quad (2)$$

For a complex character χ of $G = \text{Gal}(H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K)$, let

$$E(H_{\mathfrak{M}})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\chi} := \{x \in E(H_{\mathfrak{M}}) \otimes \mathbb{C} : x^{\sigma} = \chi(\sigma)x \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\} \quad (3)$$

be the χ -eigenspace of $E(H_{\mathfrak{M}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Denote

$$\chi^{-1}\text{-Tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi^{-1}(\sigma)\sigma. \quad (4)$$

Vigni in [V] shows that

$$\chi^{-1}\text{-Tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K}(x_{\mathfrak{M}}) \neq 0 \text{ in } E(H_{\mathfrak{M}})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\chi} \implies \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E(H_{\mathfrak{M}})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\chi} = 1. \quad (5)$$

For a quadratic extension $K(\sqrt{M})$ of K in $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ with $M \in \mathcal{O}_F$, let χ_M be the associated quadratic character. Under certain assumptions, we will show that $\chi_M\text{-Tr}(x_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is non-torsion for some M .

1.3. Main results. For a finite prime \mathfrak{q} of \mathcal{O}_F , denote

$$a_{\mathfrak{q}} = \#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) + 1 - \tilde{E}(\kappa(\mathfrak{q})),$$

where \tilde{E} is the reduced curve of E and $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$ is the residue field of \mathcal{O}_F at \mathfrak{q} . Let $d_{\mathfrak{q}}$ be the order of \mathfrak{q} . Let $q^* \in \mathcal{O}_F$ be a generator of $\mathfrak{q}^{d_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ such that q^* is a square in K_∞ . This is possible since ∞ is ramified in K/F , any generator of $\mathfrak{q}^{d_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is of even valuation at ∞ in K_∞ . Adjust it by a suitable root of unity we can make it a square in K_∞ . Let $q = q^*$ or lq^* such that $\tau(\sqrt{q}) = \sqrt{q}$.

Definition. A finite prime \mathfrak{q} is called sensitive for E if it satisfies (i) $a_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$, (ii) $\#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and (iii) the Artin symbol $[\mathfrak{n}, F(\sqrt{q^*})/F] = 1$.

Assumption III. Assume E possesses a sensitive prime \mathfrak{q}_1 of \mathcal{O}_F inert in K .

Let

$$d_{\mathfrak{n}} := \text{the order of } \mathfrak{n} \text{ in } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_F) \quad (6)$$

and n^* be a generator of $\mathfrak{n}^{d_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ such that n^* is a square in K_{∞} . Then by Hasse's reciprocity law and the condition the Hilbert symbol $(q^*, -n^*)_{\infty} = 1$,

$$[\mathfrak{q}_1, F(\sqrt{-n^*})/F] = [\mathfrak{n}, F(\sqrt{q_1^*})/F] = 1.$$

Definition. For each integer $k \geq 2$, Σ_k is the set of finite primes $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{q}_1$ of \mathcal{O}_F satisfying (i) $\#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, (ii) $a_{\mathfrak{q}} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$, (iii) \mathfrak{q} is inert in K , (iv) $[\mathfrak{q}, F(\sqrt{-n^*})/F] = 1$.

Note. We will see in Lemma 2.5 that Σ_k is infinite if Assumption III is satisfied.

Let us recall the Atkin-Lehner operator $w_{\mathfrak{n}}$ acts on a pair $(D, Z) \in X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of Drinfeld modules as follows:

$$w_{\mathfrak{n}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{n}} w_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad w_{\mathfrak{p}}(D, Z) = (D/Z_{\mathfrak{p}^k}, (D_{\mathfrak{p}^k} + Z)/Z_{\mathfrak{p}^k}), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathfrak{p}^k \parallel \mathfrak{n}$ and $D_{\mathfrak{p}^k}$ (resp. $Z_{\mathfrak{p}^k}$) is the subgroup scheme of D (resp. Z) annihilated by \mathfrak{p}^k . Let

$$w := w_{\mathfrak{n}}^{d_{\mathfrak{n}}}. \quad (8)$$

If we compose f with multiplication by a suitable odd integer, we may assume $f(P_0^w)$ is of order a power of 2.

Assumption IV. $f(P_0^w) \notin 2E(F)$.

Theorem A. Assume Assumptions I-IV are satisfied. For each integer $k \geq 0$, let $\mathfrak{q}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_k$ be distinct primes in the set Σ_k and $M = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_k$. Then $E(F(\sqrt{lM}))^-$, the $\tau = -1$ part of $E(F(\sqrt{lM}))$, is infinite. Moreover, $E^{(lM)}(F)$ has Mordell-Weil rank one and the BSD conjecture holds for $E^{(lM)}/F$.

Theorem B. Under Assumptions I-IV, if the degree of \mathfrak{q}_1 is even, then for each integer $k \geq 1$, there are infinitely many square-free M having exactly k prime factors, such that $E^{(lM)}(F)$ has Mordell-Weil rank one and the BSD conjecture holds for $E^{(lM)}/F$.

2. PROOF

2.1. Quadratic subfields.

Lemma 2.1. Let \mathfrak{q} be a finite prime of \mathcal{O}_F unramified in K .

i) The order of \mathfrak{q} in the ideal class group of \mathcal{O}_F divides $h = h(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

ii) If the size of its residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$ is $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $H_{\mathfrak{q}}$ contains a unique quadratic extension of K , which is $K(\sqrt{q})$.

Proof. i) Let a be a generator of \mathfrak{q}^d where d is the order of \mathfrak{q} in $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_F)$. We claim that d is odd. If not, $\mathfrak{q}^{d/2}\mathcal{O}_K$ is principal since h is odd by Assumption I. Let b be a generator of $\mathfrak{q}^{d/2}\mathcal{O}_K$, then $b^2 = a\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, and $K = F(\sqrt{a\varepsilon})$. Since the degree of ∞ is odd, this implies that the valuation of $a\varepsilon$ at ∞ in \mathcal{O}_F is even, contradicts to the fact that ∞ is ramified in K .

The order of $\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{O}_K$ in $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ divides the greatest common divisor (d, h) , the ideal $(\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{O}_K)^{(d, h)}$ is principal and generated by some $c \in \mathcal{O}_K$. If $d \nmid h$, let $\alpha = d/(d, h)$, then $c \in a^{1/\alpha}\mathbb{F}^\times$. But $\alpha > 2$, this is impossible! Hence $d \mid h$.

ii) By class field theory, the Galois group

$$\text{Gal}(H_{\mathfrak{q}}/H_K) = \frac{(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{O}_K)^\times}{(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{q})^\times}$$

has cardinality $\#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) + 1$ (see [B2, (2.3.8)]). By Assumption I, $[H_{\mathfrak{q}} : K] \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and there exists a unique quadratic sub-extension, denoted by $K(\sqrt{a'})$, in $H_{\mathfrak{q}}/K$.

We see that \mathfrak{q} is the only prime ramified in $K(\sqrt{a})/K$ and $K(\sqrt{a'})/K$. Then a'/a has even valuations at every finite places, $(a'/a)\mathcal{O}_K = I^2$ for a fractional ideal I of \mathcal{O}_K . Since h is odd, I must be principal, $K(\sqrt{a'}) = K(\sqrt{\varepsilon a})$ with $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}^\times$. Hence we may assume $a' = \varepsilon a$.

Notice that ∞ is ramified, K_∞ and F_∞ have the same residue fields. Since a' is a square in K_∞ , it follows that $K(\sqrt{a'}) = K(\sqrt{q})$. \square

2.2. Heegner points and the Atkin-Lehner operator. Let Λ, Λ' be two \mathcal{O}_F -lattices of rank 2 in C with $\Lambda'/\Lambda \cong \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n}$. They define a pair of Drinfeld modules with an \mathfrak{n} -isogeny, thus a point on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$, which we denote by $P(\Lambda, \Lambda')$.

For a nonzero ideal \mathfrak{a} of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}$, the Galois group acts on the set of Heegner points by

$$P(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}}^{-1})^{[\alpha, H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K]} = P(\mathfrak{a}\alpha^{-1}, \mathfrak{a}\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}}^{-1}), \quad (9)$$

where α is a nonzero fractional ideal prime to $l\mathfrak{M}$ and $[-, H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K]$ is the Artin symbol, see [B2, §4.5]. The Atkin-Lehner operator $w_{\mathfrak{n}}$ acts on the Heegner points by

$$w_{\mathfrak{n}}P(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}}^{-1}) = P(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}}^{-1}, \mathfrak{a}N^{-1}). \quad (10)$$

Let

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}} := P(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}, \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}}^{-1}),$$

then (see [B2, 4.6.17])

$$\tau P_{\mathfrak{M}}^{[\mathfrak{N}^\tau, H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K]} = w_{\mathfrak{n}}(P_{\mathfrak{M}}). \quad (11)$$

Let $H_0 = K(\sqrt{q_1}, \dots, \sqrt{q_k})$. This is a subfield of $H_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $[H_{\mathfrak{M}} : H_0]$ is odd.

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be the orbit of $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$ under $\text{Gal}(H_{\mathfrak{M}}/H_0)$ -action, then $w_{\mathfrak{n}}S = \tau S$ set-theoretically.*

Proof. This is because that the restriction of $[\mathfrak{N}^\tau, H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K]$ on $F(\sqrt{q_i})$ is

$$[\mathfrak{n}, F(\sqrt{q_i})/F] = [\mathfrak{n}, F(\sqrt{q_i^*})/F] = 1. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.3. *w has a fixed point on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$.*

Proof. Since the degree of ∞ in F is odd, we may choose $c \in C - F_\infty$ such that c^2 generates $\mathfrak{n}^{d_{\mathfrak{n}}}$. Note that $d_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is odd by Lemma 2.1, write $d_{\mathfrak{n}} = 2t + 1$. Let $\Lambda = \mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{n}^{-t}c^{-1}$ and $\Lambda' = \mathcal{O}_F + \mathfrak{n}^{-t}c^{-1}$ be two lattices in C , then $\Lambda'/\Lambda \cong \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} wP(\Lambda, \Lambda') &= P(\mathfrak{n}^{-t}\Lambda', \mathfrak{n}^{-t-1}\Lambda) \\ &= P(\mathfrak{n}^{-t} + \mathfrak{n}^{-2t}c^{-1}, \mathfrak{n}^{-t} + \mathcal{O}_F c) \\ &= P(\mathfrak{n}^{-t}c^{-1} + \mathfrak{n}^{-2t}c^{-2}, \mathfrak{n}^{-t}c^{-1} + \mathcal{O}_F) \\ &= P(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in X_0(\mathfrak{n}). \end{aligned}$$

That is to say, $P(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ is a fixed point of w . \square

Lemma 2.4. *The morphism $f + f \circ w : X_0(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow E$ is constant.*

Proof. We can write f as the composite of

$$X_0(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow J_0(\mathfrak{n}) = \text{Jac}(X_0(\mathfrak{n})) \xrightarrow{g} A = J_0(\mathfrak{n})/(T_{\mathfrak{p}} - a_{\mathfrak{p}}; \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{h} E.$$

Here $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the \mathfrak{p} -th Hecke operator, h is an isogeny. Let $f_A : P \mapsto g([P] - [P_0])$ be the composite of the first two maps.

By definition, w is a linear involution on $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ as

$$w([P] - [P_0]) = [P^w] - [P_0^w].$$

It induces a linear involution $w = \pm 1$ on A since $w \circ T_n = T_n \circ w$.

If $w = +1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (f_A - f_A \circ w)(P) &= w(f_A - f_A \circ w)(P) \\ &= w \circ g([P] - [P_0]) - ([P^w] - [P_0]) = w \circ g([P] - [P^w]) \\ &= g([P^w] - [P]) = (f_A \circ w - f_A)(P). \end{aligned}$$

The image of $f_A - f_A \circ w$ lies in $A[2]$, which is finite. Thus $f_A - f_A \circ w$ is a constant. Let Q be a fixed point of w , then

$$f_A(P_0^w) = f_A(P_0^w) - f_A(P_0) = f_A(Q^w) - f_A(Q) = O$$

and $f(P_0^w) = O$, which contradicts to Assumption IV. Hence $w = -1$.

On one hand,

$$2g([P] + [P^w] - [P_0] - [P_0^w]) = f_A(P) + f_A(P^w) + wf_A(P) + wf_A(P^w) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &g([P] + [P^w] - [P_0] - [P_0^w]) \\ &= (f_A + f_A \circ w)(P) - g([P_0^w] - [P_0]) \\ &= (f_A + f_A \circ w)(P) - f_A(P_0^w). \end{aligned}$$

The image of $f_A + f_A \circ w$ lies in $f_A(P_0^w) + A[2]$, which is finite. Thus $f_A + f_A \circ w$ is constant, so is $f + f \circ w = f(P_0^w)$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Assume E possesses a sensitive prime \mathfrak{q}_1 of \mathcal{O}_F inert in K . Then for each integer $k \geq 2$, Σ_k is infinite of positive density in the set of primes.*

Proof. Set $J = F(\sqrt{-n^*}, E[2^k])$, then $K \cap J = F$ and \mathfrak{q}_1 is unramified in J . There is a unique element σ in $\Delta = \text{Gal}(JK/F)$, whose restriction to K is τ and whose restriction to J is the Frobenius automorphism of some prime of J above \mathfrak{q}_1 .

Assume \mathfrak{q} is a finite prime not dividing $l\mathfrak{q}_1\mathfrak{n}$, whose Frobenius automorphisms in Δ lie in the conjugate class of σ . The characteristic polynomials of the Frobenius automorphisms of \mathfrak{q}_1 and \mathfrak{q} acting on the 2-adic Tate module $T_2(E)$ are $X^2 + \#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}_1)$ and $X^2 + a_{\mathfrak{q}}X + \#\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$, respectively. Since $E[2^k] = T_2(E)/2^k T_2(E)$, we have $a_{\mathfrak{q}} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$ and $\#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv \#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}_1) \pmod{2^k}$. Also \mathfrak{q} is inert in K since \mathfrak{q}_1 is inert in K , and \mathfrak{q} splits in $F(\sqrt{-n^*})$ since \mathfrak{q}_1 splits in this field. Hence Σ_k contains all such primes and it follows that Σ_k is infinite of positive density in the set of all primes by the Chebotarev density theorem. \square

Lemma 2.6. *We have $E(H_0)[2^\infty] = E(F)[2]$.*

Proof. Since in every subfield of H_0 which is strictly larger than F , at least one prime dividing $lq_1 \cdots q_k$ ramifies, but only the primes dividing $2n\infty$ may ramify in the field $F(E[2^\infty])$, we have

$$E(H_0)[2^\infty] = E(F)[2^\infty] = E(F)[2]. \quad (12)$$

Note that q_1 is a sensitive prime for E , reduction modulo q_1 is injective on $E(F)[2^\infty]$, and there are $\#\kappa(q_1) + 1$ points with coordinates in $\kappa(q_1)$ on the reduced curve \tilde{E} . It follows that $E(F)[2^\infty]$ has order at most 2. \square

2.3. Euler system. For a factor \mathfrak{d} of \mathfrak{M} , let $d = \prod_{q_i | \mathfrak{d}} q_i$. We have a Euler system as follows (see [B2, (4.6.8), (4.8.3)]):

Proposition 2.7. *For $q \mid \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\mathfrak{d}}$, we have $\text{Tr}_{H_{q\mathfrak{d}}/H_{\mathfrak{d}}} x_{q\mathfrak{d}} = a_q x_{\mathfrak{d}}$.*

Let $\psi_M = \text{Tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{M}}/H_0}(x_{\mathfrak{M}})$. Define $K(\sqrt{d})$ -points y_d, z_d of E by

$$z_d := \chi_d \cdot \text{Tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K}(x_{\mathfrak{M}}) = \chi_d \cdot \text{Tr}_{H_0/K}(\psi_M), \quad (13)$$

$$y_d := \chi_d \cdot \text{Tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{d}}/K}(x_{\mathfrak{d}}). \quad (14)$$

Then $z_M = y_M$ and $z_d = b_d y_d$ where $b_d = \prod_{q \mid \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\mathfrak{d}}} a_q = 2^k e_d$ for $\mathfrak{d} \neq \mathfrak{M}$.

2.4. Finish of proof.

Proof of Theorem A. If $k = 0$, $y_1 = \text{Tr}_{H_{\kappa}/K}(x_1)$, $y_1 + \tau(y_1) = h(\mathcal{O}_K)f(P_0^w) = f(P_0^w)$. If y_1 is torsion, then there is an odd number m such that $my_1 \in E(K)[2^\infty] = E(F)[2]$. It follows that $f(P_0^w) = m(y_1 + \tau(y_1)) = 2my_1$, which contradicts to Assumption IV. Hence y_1 is non-torsion, so is $2y_1 \in E(K)^-$.

Now assume $k \geq 1$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H_0/K)$ which maps $\sqrt{q_1}$ to $-\sqrt{q_1}$ and fixes all other $\sqrt{q_i}$ for $i > 1$. Then

$$\sigma(\psi_M) + \psi_M = \text{Tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K(\sqrt{q_i}, i>1)}(x_{\mathfrak{M}}) = a_{q_1} \text{Tr}_{H_{\frac{\mathfrak{M}}{q_1}}/K(\sqrt{q_i}, i>1)}(x_{\frac{\mathfrak{M}}{q_1}}) = 0.$$

Since $a_{q_1} = 1$,

$$\sigma(v_M) + v_M = \text{Tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K}(x_{\mathfrak{M}}) = a_{q_1} \text{Tr}_{H_{\frac{\mathfrak{M}}{q_1}}/K}(x_{\frac{\mathfrak{M}}{q_1}}) = 0,$$

where

$$v_M = \text{Tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{M}}/K(\sqrt{M})}(x_{\mathfrak{M}}) = \text{Tr}_{H_0/K(\sqrt{M})}(\psi_{\mathfrak{M}}).$$

Then $y_M = v_M - \sigma(v_M) = 2v_M$, $\sigma(y_M) + y_M = 0$.

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\psi_M + \tau(\psi_M) = [H_{\mathfrak{M}} : H_0]f(P_0^w) = f(P_0^w).$$

Thus $y_M + \tau(y_M) = 2(v_M + \tau(v_M)) = 0$. Hence $y_M \in E(F(\sqrt{lM}))^-$. Similarly, we have $y_d + \tau(y_d) = 0$ if $q_1 \mid \mathfrak{d}$.

By the definition of y_d , we have

$$y_M + \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \mid \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{d} \neq \mathfrak{M}} z_d = 2^k \psi_M.$$

Let

$$u_M = \psi_M - \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \mid \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{d} \neq \mathfrak{M}} e_d y_d,$$

then $y_M = 2^k u_M$. Since $e_d = 0$ if $\mathfrak{q}_1 \nmid \mathfrak{d}$, it follows that $u_M + \tau(u_M) = f(P_0^w)$. If u_M is torsion, then there is an odd number m such that $mu_M \in E(H_0)[2^\infty] = E(F)[2]$. It follows that $f(P_0^w) = m(u_M + \tau(u_M)) = 2mu_M$, which contradicts to Assumption IV. Hence u_M is non-torsion, so is y_M .

The rest of the proof is similar to [V, Theorem 7.1]. By [V, Theorem 6.1], we can take a suitable rational prime t such that the \mathbb{F}_t -vector space $\text{Sel}_t(E/H_{\mathfrak{M}})^{\chi_M}$ is one-dimensional and $E[t](H_{\mathfrak{M}}) = 0$. Since the Selmer groups can be controlled as follows

$$\text{Sel}_t(E/F(\sqrt{lM}))^{\chi_M} \hookrightarrow \text{Sel}_t(E/K(\sqrt{M}))^{\chi_M} \hookrightarrow \text{Sel}_t(E/H_{\mathfrak{M}})^{\chi_M},$$

they must be all one-dimensional \mathbb{F}_t -vector spaces.

We know that $E^{(lM)}(F) \cong E(F(\sqrt{lM}))^-$. By injectivity of the restriction map, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_t} \text{Sel}_t(E^{(lM)}/F) = 1$ and $\text{III}(E^{(lM)}/F)[t] = 0$. By the result of Tate, Milne, Kato and Trihan ([V, Theorem 7.2]), the conjecture of BSD holds for $E^{(lM)}/F$. \square

Proof of Theorem B. If the degree of \mathfrak{q}_1 is even, the 2-adic valuation of $\#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}_1)$ is $r \geq 2$, then the 2-adic valuation of $\#\mathbb{F} - 1$ is less than r . Take $\mathfrak{q}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_k$ in Σ_{k+r} , we have $\#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv \#\kappa(\mathfrak{q}_1) \pmod{2^r}$ as in Lemma 2.5. Thus the degree of \mathfrak{q}_i is even and then $q_i = q_i^*$. Therefore, M has exactly k prime factors and the result follows from Lemma 2.5. \square

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Professor Ye Tian for his vision and help. We also would like to thank Yu Liu, Jie Shu and Jinbang Yang for many helpful discussions. The second author would like to thank Professor Xinyi Yuan for helpful discussions and generous hospitality. This research is partially supported by National Key Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834202) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11171317 and 11571328).

REFERENCES

- [B1] M. L. Brown, *On a conjecture of Tate for elliptic surfaces over finite fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 1994, 69(9): 489–514.
- [B2] M. L. Brown, *Heegner modules and elliptic curves*, Lecture notes in Mathematics 1849, Springer, 2004.
- [CLTZ] J. Coates, Y. Li, Y. Tian, S. Zhai, *Quadratic twists of elliptic curves*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 110 (2015), no. 2, 357–394.
- [G] E. U. Gekeler, *Drinfeld Modular Curves*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1231, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [GR] E. U. Gekeler, M. Reversat, *Jacobians of Drinfeld modular curves*, J. Reine. Angew. Math., 1996, 476: 27–94.
- [H] D. R. Hayes, *Explicit class field theory in global function fields*, in: Studies in Algebra and Number Theory, Adv. Math., Suppl. Stud., 1979, 61979: 173–217.
- [P] M. Papikian, *On the degree of modular parametrizations over function fields*, J. Number Theory, 2002, 97: 317–349.
- [S] A. Schweizer, *Hyperelliptic Drinfeld Modular Curves*, in: E. U. Gekeler, M. van der Put, M. Reversat and I. Van Geel (Eds.), Drinfeld Modules, Modular Schemes and Applications, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997, 330–343.
- [T] J. T. Tate, *On the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer and a geometric analog*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Exp No 306, Vol 9, Soc Math France, Paris, 1995, 415–440.
- [U] D. Ulmer, *Elliptic curves and analogies between number fields and function fields*, in: H. Darmon and S.-W. Zhang (Eds.), Heegner points and Rankin L -Series, 2004, 285–315.
- [V] S. Vigni, *On ring class eigenspaces of Mordell–Weil groups of elliptic curves over global function fields*, J. Number Theory, 2008, 128: 2159–2184.

- [WY] F.-T. Wei, J. Yu, *On the independence of Heegner points in the function field case*, J. Number Theory, 2010, 130(11): 2542–2560.

WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI 230026, CHINA
Email address: yiouyang@ustc.edu.cn, zsxqq@mail.ustc.edu.cn